

Accepted Manuscript

Mesenchymal Stem Cells as Anti-inflammatories: Implications for Treatment of Duchenne Muscular Dystrophy

Thomas E. Ichim, Doru T. Alexandrescu, Fabio Solano, Fabian Lara, Rosalia De Necochea Campion, Eugenia Paris, Erik J Woods, Michael P Murphy, Constantin A. Dasanu, Amit N Patel, Annette M Marleau, Neil H. Riordan

PII: S0008-8749(09)00172-5
DOI: [10.1016/j.cellimm.2009.10.006](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cellimm.2009.10.006)
Reference: YCIMM 2646

To appear in: *Cellular Immunology*

Received Date: 17 September 2009
Accepted Date: 13 October 2009

Please cite this article as: T.E. Ichim, D.T. Alexandrescu, F. Solano, F. Lara, R.D.N. Campion, E. Paris, E.J. Woods, M.P. Murphy, C.A. Dasanu, A.N. Patel, A.M. Marleau, N.H. Riordan, Mesenchymal Stem Cells as Anti-inflammatories: Implications for Treatment of Duchenne Muscular Dystrophy, *Cellular Immunology* (2009), doi: [10.1016/j.cellimm.2009.10.006](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cellimm.2009.10.006)

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.



Mesenchymal Stem Cells as Anti-inflammatories: Implications for Treatment of Duchenne Muscular Dystrophy

¹Thomas E. Ichim, ²Doru T. Alexandrescu, ³Fabio Solano, ³Fabian Lara, ⁴Rosalia De Necochea Campion, ⁵Eugenia Paris, ⁶Erik J Woods, ⁷Michael P Murphy, ⁸Constantin A. Dasanu, ⁹Amit N Patel, ¹⁰Annette M Marleau, ¹*Neil H. Riordan

¹Medistem Inc, San Diego, USA; ²Georgetown Dermatology, Washington DC, USA; ³Institute for Cellular Medicine, Panama City, Panama; ⁴University of California, San Diego, California; ⁵Hospital CIMA, San Jose, Costa Rica; ⁶General Biotechnology, Indianapolis, Indiana; ⁷Division of Medicine, Indiana University School of Medicine, Indiana, USA; ⁸Department of Hematology and Medical Oncology, St Francis Hospital and Medical Center, Hartford, CT; ⁹Dept of Cardiothoracic Surgery, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, Utah, USA; ¹⁰Department of Surgery, University of Nebraska Medical Centre, Omaha Nebraska

Running Title: Stem cell therapy for DMD

Keywords: Duchenne Muscular Dystrophy, Endometrial Regenerative Cells, Mesenchymal Stem Cells, Regenerative Medicine, Cord Blood Stem Cells

Conflicts: TEI and NHR are shareholders and management of the biotechnology company Medistem Inc, which has patent applications and a filed IND on the endometrial regenerative cells

***Address Correspondence and Reprint Requests to:** Neil H Riordan, Ph.D, PA
Medistem Inc 9255 Towne Centre Drive, Suite 450, San Diego, CA 92122 Email:
nhriordan@gmail.com

Abstract

Duchenne muscular dystrophy (DMD) is a lethal X-linked musculodegenerative condition consisting of an underlying genetic defect whose manifestation is augmented by inflammatory mechanisms. Previous treatment approaches using gene replacement, exon-skipping or allogeneic cell therapy have been relatively unsuccessful. The only intervention to mediate improvement in survival, albeit minor, is glucocorticoid treatment. Given this modality appears to function via suppression of underlying inflammation; we focus this review on the inflammatory response as a target for mesenchymal stem cell (MSC) therapy. In contrast to other cell based therapies attempted in DMD, MSC have the advantages of: a) ability to fuse with and genetically complement dystrophic muscle; b) possess anti-inflammatory activities; and c) produce trophic factors that may augment activity of endogenous repair cells. We conclude by describing one practical scenario of stem cell therapy for DMD.

Introduction

Duchenne Muscular Dystrophy (DMD) is a lethal X-linked genetic disorder caused by a deficient dystrophin production. Mutations in the *DMD* gene, or duplications/deletions of its exons appears to be the underlying defect [1]. Dystrophin is a critical component of the dystrophin glycoprotein complex (DGC), which is involved in stabilizing interactions between the sarcolemma, the cytoskeleton, and the extracellular matrix of skeletal and cardiac muscles [2]. A consequence of the DGC inefficiency is the enhanced rate of myofibre damage and subsequent death during muscle contraction. Although satellite cells compensate for muscle fiber loss in the early stages of disease [3], eventually these progenitors become exhausted as witnessed by shorter telomere length and inability to generate new muscle [4]. In the MDX mouse model of DMD, embryonic loss of myocyte progenitors has been described, thus further predisposing for poor compensatory myogenesis [5]. As a result of high demands for myogenesis and poor compensatory mechanisms, fibrous and fatty connective tissue eventually overtake the functional myofibres both in animal models and in the clinical situation. Contributing to this process are inflammatory cell infiltration, cytokine production and complement activation [6, 7]. These changes culminate in progressive muscle wasting, with majority of patients being wheelchair-bound in their early teens. Patients succumb to cardiac/respiratory failure in their twenties, although rare cases of survival into the thirties has been reported [8].

With exception of corticosteroids, which have limited activity and cause numerous adverse effects [9], therapeutic interventions in DMD have had little, if any success. Current areas of investigation include replacement gene therapy with dystrophin [10], induction of exon-skipping by antisense or siRNA to correct the open reading frame of mutated *DMD* genes [11], and transfer of myoblast or other putative progenitor cells [12-14]. Tremblay's group has been successful at restoration of dystrophin expression using allogeneic myoblasts under the cover of immune suppression, however significant functional benefits have not been reported [15-17].

Development of therapeutic approaches may require understanding not only of the genetic defect and associated cellular pathology, but also the contribution of the host response to the damaged myocytes which appears to perpetuate the deterioration. Accordingly, a brief description of the inflammatory associated changes in DMD is described below.

DMD is Associated with Chronic Inflammation

Muscle degeneration associated with DMD seems to be a multifactorial process in which numerous types of intervention may be envisioned. Although induction of dystrophin expression is paramount to cure, it appears that inflammatory events secondary to myocyte dystrophin mutation also play a major role in disease progression. Intense exercise in wild-type muscles is associated with transient inflammation [18], which is part of a homeostatic process. In contrast, DMD patients are believed to have a prolonged inflammatory milieu subsequent to muscular strain, which appears to

contribute to muscle deterioration [19]. Clinically, DMD onset and progression are known to be associated with upregulation of inflammatory genes [20, 21], which has been confirmed by microarray studies in the MDX mouse model of DMD [22]. It is known that the inflammatory-associated transcription factor NF- κ B is upregulated in muscles of both animal models and patients with DMD and that its inhibition in the MDX model results in therapeutic benefit by decreasing macrophage infiltration and permitting a higher level of myogenesis [23]. At the protein level, inflammatory mediators such as TNF- α have been detected at elevated systemic levels as compared to healthy controls [24]. In fact, inhibition of TNF- α with clinically-used agents such as Etanercept or Remicade has been demonstrated to diminish muscle deterioration in the MDX mouse [25, 26]. It is therefore conceivable that soluble inflammatory factors contribute to progression of degeneration by direct inhibition of muscle function [27], as well as elicitation of immunological cells to area of muscle damage [28].

The possibility that local inflammation is occurring as muscle damage progresses is confirmed at a cellular level by observations of immune cell infiltration. For example, monocytic infiltration occurs with such selectivity to degenerating muscles that these cells have been proposed as vectors for delivery of gene therapy [29]. In that study it was demonstrated that labeled monocytes selectively infiltrated areas of acute muscle damage induced by local freezing injury. In the MDX model, which in contrast to the freezing injury model, has a more chronic muscle deterioration, monocyte migration to the area of myofiber damage was also observed. Another study using the same model showed that the initial period of muscle destruction, which occurs at about 4 weeks of age, is associated with macrophage infiltration directly adjacent to areas of necrosis. A causal relationship was proposed given that inhibition of macrophage nitric oxide resulted in reduction of necrosis [30].

An important question is, why would inflammatory macrophages enter the muscle? Is it as a result of necrotic/apoptotic tissue already present, or a chemotactic signal secreted by the injured muscles, or a combination? The cytokines IL-6, MCP-1, and IP-10 were identified as potential mediators [30]. In the diaphragm of the MDX mouse, which is one of the muscles most injured due to repeated physical activity, MIP-1 α and RANTES are expressed by the muscle itself [31]. Furthermore, other studies have confirmed expression of these, and also the monocyte-chemattractant CCL6 in dystrophic limb muscle, thus suggesting upregulation of chemokine synthesis may be a systemic occurrence in DMD [28]. Actual transmigration of monocytes may be mediated by VCAM-1 expression on the endothelium, which has previously been shown to attract CD133 positive stem cells into exercised dystrophic muscle [32], but is also a known ligand for leukocyte expressed VLA-4.

Further involved in the self-perpetuating inflammatory cascade is the renin-angiotensin system which increases the fibrotic cytokine TGF- β [33], and upregulation of TNF- α which is directly toxic to myocytes [34, 35]. Furthermore, the active production of these inflammatory factors by infiltrating macrophages has been shown to play a large role in disease progression. M1 macrophages have been demonstrated to directly kill myocytes *in vitro*, whereas healing of muscles is associated with M2 macrophages, thus

manipulation of this overall inflammatory state may be a potential area of intervention [30].

Another component of the inflammatory process is fibrosis. The increased fibrotic state of muscles in DMD is associated with upregulated expression of MMP inhibitors such as TIMP1 and 2 in patients [36]. Modification of the MMP/TIMP ratio by administration of MMP overexpressing cells has yielded therapeutic benefit in the mdx model, which were associated with increased neovascularization [37]. In fact, altered blood vessels were cited as a possible cause of DMD in historical literature [38].

Modulation of Inflammation is Beneficial in DMD

The only clinical intervention appearing to have positive, albeit, mild effects is corticosteroid therapy, which has been shown in numerous trials to inhibit long-term muscle deterioration [9], and even induce short term functional improvement [39, 40]. Efficacy of this approach seems to be associated with inhibition of ongoing inflammatory reactions that contribute to muscle degeneration. Here we will discuss some effects of inhibiting inflammatory reactions in the context of DMD.

In addition to their well-studied immunological functions, the macrophage plays a significant role in tissue remodeling. For example, macrophages are critical for angiogenesis, tissue regeneration, and reduction of fibrosis [41]. In the context of DMD macrophages play both a reparative and destructive role depending on context. Broadly speaking there are two types of macrophages distinguished based on cytokine production and arginine metabolism. M1 macrophages are primarily antiangiogenic, characterized by high levels of nitric oxide production, and possess cytotoxic activity, whereas M2 macrophages generally are anti-inflammatory, support angiogenesis, and associated with tissue repair [42]. This concept has been demonstrated in situations such as cancer, in which M2 tumor infiltrating macrophages play an important role in neovascularization and immune evasion [43]. In contrast, stimulation of M1 macrophages has been shown to inhibit tumor growth [44]. This dual ability of macrophages to promote either damage or healing has been observed in other biological systems, for example, administration of M1 macrophages accelerates adriamycin-induced kidney failure whereas M2 macrophages are protective [45].

In the context of DMD, M1-like macrophages are found infiltrating the dystrophic muscle, and inhibition of this phenotype through blockade of the NF- κ B pathway results in amelioration of disease [23]. Another method of altering the M1 to M2 macrophage state is through exposure to the cytokine IL-10. Treatment of macrophages with this cytokine reduces ability to cause muscle damage and augments regenerative activity through alteration of arginine metabolism to reduce nitric oxide production and augment polyamine synthesis [30]. The dual role of macrophages is further supported by studies in which macrophage conditioned media, in absence of inflammatory stimuli, was capable of eliciting ex vivo myoblast expansion [46].

Modulation of other innate immune components has been successful at altering disease progression. For example, neutrophils are associated with progression of pathology, and interventions such as blockade of osteopontin result in disease inhibition and reduction of neutrophil infiltration [47]. Direct depletion of neutrophils using antibodies has been demonstrated to significantly reduce pathology in the MDX model [35]. Mast cells have also been demonstrated to be involved in muscular deterioration in that daily cromolyn injections to prevent mast cell degranulation results in reduction of basal and exercise-induced myofibre necrosis [48].

T cell immunity is also known to contribute to DMD progression. Suggesting this possibility at a clinical level, Kissel et al found that in a double-blind trial of prednisone significant decreases in lymphocytic infiltrates in muscle biopsies were observed in the treated but not control patients [49]. These clinical observations have also been described in animal studies where immune suppressants such as cyclosporine A, which targets T cells, have been demonstrated to reduce progression of pathology [50]. T cells are believed to be associated with stimulation of TGF- β and augmentation of fibrosis. For example, it was demonstrated that depletion of T and B cells results in reduction of myocytic damage in SCID mice that have been bred onto the MDX background [51]. Studies in which thymic tissue was transplanted into T cell deficient MDX mice confirmed the critical role of T cells in fibrosis [52]. Dystrophic muscles express upregulate expression of MHC I [53, 54], which may be the result of local inflammatory cell activation. There is some evidence of a direct autoimmune component in DMD in that IgG anti-muscle antibodies, indicating class-switching had occurred [55]. In fact, some studies suggest that muscular inflammation may be transferred into naïve recipients by administration of T cells from dystrophic mice together with muscle extracts [56]. These data would suggest the T cell compartment not only acts as a passive response to dystrophic injury but may play a more substantial role. Mechanistically, T cells appear to mediate muscle damage through secretion of osteopontin [47], which promotes fibrosis, as well as direct perforin-mediated cytotoxicity [57].

Cellular Therapy of DMD

Before describing how MSC therapy may beneficially affect DMD progression by concurrent inhibition of inflammation and dystrophin positive muscle regeneration, we will discuss previous work in cell therapy for this condition. Initial attempts at cellular therapy for DMD have focused on administration of muscle precursor cells, particularly allogeneic, dystrophin-expressing myoblasts that have been expanded in culture. One of the original descriptions of this therapy was published in 1991 in which 3 DMD patients were injected with 8 million allogeneic myoplasts into the extensor digitorum brevis (EDB) muscle under the cover of cyclosporine. Mild increase in tension in the injected but not contralateral control muscles was observed as well as expression of dystrophin and reduction in microscopic characteristics of DMD pathology [58]. The same group expanded on the approach in a Phase II trial of 21 patients administered 5 billion myoblasts in 48 intramuscular injections into 22 major muscles. Patients were treated using cyclosporine to prevent rejection. Thirteen of the patients were assessed for improvements in muscle strength, of 69 muscle groups (knee extensors, knee flexors,

plantar flexors) tested. As compared to pre-treatment, three months after cell administration 43% of patients showed a mean increase in strength of 41.3% +/- 5.9, 38% of patients did not exhibit changes and 19% had diminished muscle capacity of 23.4% +/- 3.1. Not adverse effects were associated with administration [13].

Increasing frequency of cell administration was performed in a 12 patient study involved 6 monthly injections of 110 million fraternal or paternal derived myoblasts into the biceps brachii muscles of one arm with the contralateral arm serving as a control, with half of the patients receiving cyclosporine and the other half placebo. Six months after the last injection one patient expressed dystrophin in 10.3 percent of muscle fibers, while 3 other patients had < 1% and the remaining 8 were negative. No increase in muscle strength was observed [14].

Given the undesirable effects of systemic immune suppression, Tremblay et al attempted myoblast transplant in 5 patients without cyclosporine. Administration of myoblasts was performed into one biceps brachii with the opposite biceps brachii as a control. No increase in isometric force was observed during the 2-18 months period post cell injection. In the biceps brachii of both sides 6 mo after the transplantation, less than 1.5% of dystrophin-positive fibers were detected. Interestingly, complement-fixing antibodies were identified in all patients post-injection that had ability to lyse myotubes. The authors concluded that immune suppression was necessary for allogeneic myoblast transplants [59].

Several other studies have been conducted using a variety of dosing regimens. Karpati et al used cyclophosphamide immune suppression in 8 DMD patients receiving a dose of 55 million cells in the biceps. No functional improvement or dystrophin expression was reported [60]. Law et al reported a 32 patient study using 48 injections of a total of five billion myoblasts were transferred into 22 major muscles in both lower limbs, Nine months after cell transplant 60% of the 60 ankle plantar flexors (AF), examined showed an average increase of 50% in force; 28% showed no change; and only 12% showed a mean decrease in force of 29% when compared to the function of the same muscles before transplantation of cells. Unfortunately, when the results of all muscle groups tested were compared, there was no change in force at 3, 6, or 9 months post transplant [61]. Miller et al. administered 100 million myoblasts in the anterior tibial muscle of one leg and placebo in the other leg of 10 patients. Muscle force increased in both legs, which the authors attributed to cyclosporine effects. Of the 10 patients, myoblast survival and dystrophin mRNA expression was observed in 3 patients after 1 month and only in 1 patient after 6 months [62]. Relatively, the major advancement in allogeneic myoblast transplant came from the group of Tremblay which developed a high density injection methodology in which cells are injected at a distance of 1 to 2 mm from each other. Using this approach temporarily higher increases in the percentage of myofibers expressing donor's dystrophin was seen in comparison to other protocols, with expression varying from 3.5% to 26% [16]. A more recent study by the same group demonstrated actually an increase in the number of donor-derived dystrophin being expressed in the myofibers, with 27.5% at 1 month after transplant and 34.5% 18 months. Unfortunately significant improvement in strength was not reported [15].

Mesenchymal Stem Cell Therapy Preventing Inflammation and Accelerating Healing

As seen from the above discussion, one of the major problems with allogeneic myoblasts therapy is associated with immune rejection. In fact, the process of rejection may actually be involved in acceleration of dystrophic progression due to increased inflammation during the rejection process. Mesenchymal stem cells (MSC) are conventionally defined as adherent, non-hematopoietic cells expressing markers such as CD90, CD105, and CD73, and being negative for CD14, CD34, and CD45. While originally identified in the bone marrow [63], MSC have been extracted from numerous tissues including adipose [64], heart [65], Wharton's Jelly [66], dental pulp [67], peripheral blood [68], cord blood [69], menstrual blood [70-72], and more recently fallopian tube [73].

One of the major properties of MSC is ability to differentiate into various tissues. The traditional, or "orthodox" differentiation properties of MSC are their ability to become adipocytes, chondrocytes, and osteocytes *in vitro* after treatment with induction agents [74]. Non-orthodox differentiation into other tissues, for example, cells resembling neurons [75, 76], muscles [77], hepatocytes [78] and pancreatic islets [79], has also been reported. There is some evidence that MSC may differentiate selectively into tissues that have been injured. For example, Tao et al systemically administered MSC clones into immune deficient mice subsequent to carbon tetrachloride hepatic injury. Differentiation into albumin-expressing hepatocyte-like cells was observed [80]. Similar specific differentiation of non-induced MSC into injured tissue has been demonstrated in post myocardial infarct models [81, 82], in stroke [83], kidney damage [84], pulmonary fibrosis [85], and bone fractures [86]. Several chemokine signals appear to be associated with MSC migration to injured tissue. Stromal Derived Factor (SDF)-1 seems to be a ubiquitous MSC chemoattractant associated with a plethora of diverse tissue injuries ranging from noise induced auditory spiral ligament damage in the cochlea of the ear [87], to burn injury [88, 89], to bone fractures [90]. Most commonly studied is the critical role of SDF-1 stimulation of stem cell homing to areas of hypoxia. In many injury situations such as myocardial infarction or stroke, SDF-1 has been demonstrated to be associated with mobilization of stem cells into the periphery and homing to the site of injury [91, 92]. Thus the ability of MSC to differentiate into various injured tissue, including muscle, as well as ability to complement dystrophin deficiency [93], makes them an attractive therapeutic candidate for DMD.

The use of mesenchymal stem cells as inhibitors of inflammation is conceptually appealing. In the bone marrow it has been speculated that one of their main functions is the protection of hematopoietic precursor from inflammatory damage [94]. This potent activity of MSC is best exemplified in an experiment where these cells were capable of inhibiting one of the most potent inflammatory processes, septic shock. The investigators demonstrated that administration of bone marrow derived MSC was capable of increasing survival in the lethal cecal-puncture ligation murine model through modulation of macrophage activity [95]. More inhibition of chronic inflammatory processes such as models of autoimmune arthritis [96, 97], diabetes [98, 99], multiple sclerosis [100, 101],

and lupus [102], has been well documented by syngeneic, or in some cases allogeneic MSC.

Mechanistically, MSC play multifactorial roles in terms of controlling inflammation. They have ability to selectively home towards damaged tissue via expression of receptors for SDF-1, lysophosphatidic acid [103], and CCL2 [104]. Conceptually, once homed to the area of tissue damage, they act to regulate inflammatory associated biological processes such as: a) suppressing macrophage activation [105, 106]; b) inhibiting Th17 generation [104]; c) inhibit Th1 cell generation [107]; d) suppressing NK and T cytotoxic cell function [108]; e) stimulating generation of Th2 cells [109]; f) inducing generation of Treg cells [110] and g) eliciting suppression of DC maturation [105, 111]. Mechanistically, MSC suppress various immune functions through: a) release of immune suppressive cytokines such as IL-10 [112, 113], TGF-beta [114], and LIF [115]; b) express the T and NK inhibitory enzyme indolamine 2,3 deoxygenase [116]; c) produce soluble HLA-G [117]; and d) express contact-dependent inhibitory molecules such as PD-1L. Importantly, these immune modulatory properties seem to be inducible preferentially in the presence of an active immune response [117-120].

Given these anti-inflammatory properties, MSC have been used in numerous clinical applications with various degrees of success. Despite the recent failure of Osiris's two Phase III clinical trials in graft versus host disease (GVHD) [121], several academic Phase I and II trials of MSC demonstrated efficacy in this indication [122-127], it may be that differences in MSC culture protocols may have contributed to discordant results. Other studies have used MSC in treatment of osteogenesis imperfecta [128], Hurler syndrome, metachromatic leukodystrophy [129], amyotrophic lateral sclerosis [130], SLE [131], liver failure [132], perianal fistula [133], and acceleration of hematopoietic stem cell engraftment [134-136].

Therapeutic effects of MSC are believed to occur not only by direct differentiation into injured tissue but also by production of paracrine factors that inhibit apoptosis, stimulate endogenous cell proliferation, and/or activate tissue resident stem cells in the site of injury. For example, MSC exert renoprotective effects in a model of cisplatin-induced kidney failure primarily through secretion of insulin like growth factor (IGF)-1, which prevents apoptosis of proximal tubular epithelial cells [137]. IGF-1 has also been demonstrated to play a critical role in MSC amelioration of post-myocardial infarct damage [138]. Keratinocyte growth factor (KGF)-1, has been demonstrated to be responsible for MSC-mediated protection of endotoxin induced pulmonary injury [139].

Mesenchymal Stem Cell Therapy of DMD

Given the regenerative and anti-inflammatory effects of MSC, several studies have used this population in animal models of DMD. Early studies transplanted bone marrow or fetal liver ROSA cells into MDX mice in utero at day 14 of pregnancy [140]. Engrafted donor cells were found in multiple sections from hindlimb skeletal muscles, diaphragms, and hearts from both stem cell sources. No alteration in muscle function was made, however the study demonstrated feasibility of restoring functional muscle cells from a

stem cell source. A subsequent study administered human fetal derived MSC into MDX recipients in utero at days 14-16 of pregnancy. A similar distribution of cells into the major muscles was observed, however degree of chimerism was minor (less than 1 %) [141]. An flk-1 positive adipose derived mesenchymal stem cell population was demonstrated to selectively home to necrotic muscle fibers in MDX mice, with some demonstration of dystrophin regeneration. The authors did not show functional improvement, however did show muscle neovascularization, which they believe may have been associated with muscle remodeling [77]. Administration of rat bone marrow MSC intravenously into irradiated MDX mice lead to improvement in serum chemistry as judged by decreased serum creatine kinase (CK) and centrally nucleated fiber (CNF) [142]. More therapeutically relevant would be the administration of allogenic MSC in an intramuscular manner. Using the delta-sarcoglycan-deficient dystrophic hamster model intramuscular injections of human and pig derived MSC were performed. No upregulation of inflammatory cytokines, serum markers, or intramuscular NF- κ B was observed. Reduction in muscular oxidative stress and entry of myocytes into cell cycle was reported. Additionally, the MSC remained viable intramuscularly and were associated with new muscle fibers, as well as neocapillary formation [143]. This study supports at least the safety aspects of administration of mismatched MSC into dystrophic muscles. Unfortunately, the majority of studies using MSC in animal models did not report significant, if any, upregulation in muscle contractile force [144].

Case Report of Stem Cell Therapy for DMD

An alternative approach towards DMD treatment involves combination of MSC with other possibly therapeutic cells, as well as utilization of MSC types that may have differential properties than conventional bone marrow derived cells. An MSC-like cell, the endometrial regenerative cell (ERC) has been demonstrated to express higher levels of matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs) and angiogenic activity as compared with other MSC [70, 145]. Distinct myogenic proclivity, as well as ability to induce dystrophin expression has been reported using cells similar to ERC [146, 147]. One-year safety follow-up has been published with allogenic ERC administered intravenously and intrathecally [148]. Synergy has been reported in animal and pilot cases between hematopoietic and mesenchymal stem cells [149, 150].

We report a case study of a 23 year old male diagnosed with DMD at age 3, who manifested a progressive decrease in muscular strength and became wheelchair bound at age of 12. Supportive treatment with intermittent courses of prednisone, pain management, along with physical therapy was provided. Frequent respiratory infections secondary to a poor respiratory effort with decrease clearance of the secretions were managed with standard antibiotic therapy. On August 5-14th, 2008, the patient was treated with a combination of ERC and CD34 umbilical cord blood, mixed lymphocyte reaction-matched positive cells, subsequently on November 25-28, the patient received another course of therapy including placental matrix derived mesenchymal stem cells (Table 1). Cells were prepared and administered as previously described by us [148, 149]. No adverse events were associated with the stem cell infusion. A significant increase in muscle strength occurred in all the muscle groups, and was accompanied by an increase

in the functional capacity of the patient. Thus, a pre-transplantation strength of 2-2.5/5 in the neck, shoulder, upper, and lower extremities began to improve after each of the two stem cell administrations, and reached a final 4/5 level 1 month after second transplantation treatment. The increments in muscle strength after the two stem cell administration appeared to be additive, with most benefit recorded after the second. Upper extremity improvement in strength evolved from the incapacity to lift against gravity before the transplantation towards the ability to lift 2 lbs weights after the procedure. Trunk balance and strength were also markedly improved. The patient gained 20 lbs, along with an increased general activity level. The frequency of respiratory infections decreased from 3-4/year before stem cell therapy to none. The inspiratory effort improved from -32 to -40 cm H₂O. A muscle biopsy taken in January 2009 demonstrated normal (>50%, normal = 50-100% expression of normal-molecular size) levels of muscular dystrophin. The improvement in muscular strength, clinical respiratory function, and general level of activity are maintained to date.

To our knowledge this is the first report of profound dystrophin expression occurring in a non-ambulatory DMD patient after ERC treatment. One question that arises is whether cell therapy in this case can achieve a level of selectivity for injured muscles. It is known that CD34 cells express VLA-4, which is the ligand for VCAM-1, whose expression is elevated in dystrophic muscles [32]. Furthermore, CD34 chemokines such as MIP-1 alpha and RANTES expression is found in dystrophic muscle [31]. It may therefore be possible that local intramuscular MSC be able to add chemotactic/trophic support for the intravenously administered CD34. In fact, it is reported that mesoangioblasts, which reside within the CD34 population, as well as cord blood CD34 cells have had positive activity on DMD in animal models [151-153], although this appears to be short-lived. If mesoangioblasts are the main contributors to de novo myogenesis, it may be possible that intramuscular ERC, or alternatively MSC, administration may provide a more suitable environment for muscle regeneration. Muscle-derived CD133 mesoangioblast-like cells have already been used clinically with mild degree of success [12], it may be promising to explore combinations of these, or perhaps even myoblasts, with ERC/MSK in order to augment therapeutic efficacy by suppressing local inflammation.

Acknowledgements: The authors thank Chris McGuinn of Medistem Inc for performing research leading to compilation of this manuscript.

References

1. Muntoni, F., S. Torelli, and A. Ferlini, *Dystrophin and mutations: one gene, several proteins, multiple phenotypes*. *Lancet Neurol*, 2003. **2**(12): p. 731-40.
2. Lapidos, K.A., R. Kakkar, and E.M. McNally, *The dystrophin glycoprotein complex: signaling strength and integrity for the sarcolemma*. *Circ Res*, 2004. **94**(8): p. 1023-31.
3. Miller, J.B., L. Schaefer, and J.A. Dominov, *Seeking muscle stem cells*. *Curr Top Dev Biol*, 1999. **43**: p. 191-219.

4. Lund, T.C., R.W. Grange, and D.A. Lowe, *Telomere shortening in diaphragm and tibialis anterior muscles of aged mdx mice*. Muscle Nerve, 2007. **36**(3): p. 387-90.
5. Merrick, D., et al., *Muscular dystrophy begins early in embryonic development deriving from stem cell loss and disrupted skeletal muscle formation*. Dis Model Mech, 2009.
6. Cossu, G. and F. Mavilio, *Myogenic stem cells for the therapy of primary myopathies: wishful thinking or therapeutic perspective?* J Clin Invest, 2000. **105**(12): p. 1669-74.
7. Spuler, S. and A.G. Engel, *Unexpected sarcolemmal complement membrane attack complex deposits on nonnecrotic muscle fibers in muscular dystrophies*. Neurology, 1998. **50**(1): p. 41-6.
8. Eagle, M., et al., *Survival in Duchenne muscular dystrophy: improvements in life expectancy since 1967 and the impact of home nocturnal ventilation*. Neuromuscul Disord, 2002. **12**(10): p. 926-9.
9. Fenichel, G.M., et al., *Long-term benefit from prednisone therapy in Duchenne muscular dystrophy*. Neurology, 1991. **41**(12): p. 1874-7.
10. Romero, N.B., et al., *Phase I study of dystrophin plasmid-based gene therapy in Duchenne/Becker muscular dystrophy*. Hum Gene Ther, 2004. **15**(11): p. 1065-76.
11. van Deutekom, J.C., et al., *Local dystrophin restoration with antisense oligonucleotide PRO051*. N Engl J Med, 2007. **357**(26): p. 2677-86.
12. Torrente, Y., et al., *Autologous transplantation of muscle-derived CD133+ stem cells in Duchenne muscle patients*. Cell Transplant, 2007. **16**(6): p. 563-77.
13. Law, P.K., et al., *Feasibility, safety, and efficacy of myoblast transfer therapy on Duchenne muscular dystrophy boys*. Cell Transplant, 1992. **1**(2-3): p. 235-44.
14. Mendell, J.R., et al., *Myoblast transfer in the treatment of Duchenne's muscular dystrophy*. N Engl J Med, 1995. **333**(13): p. 832-8.
15. Skuk, D., et al., *First test of a "high-density injection" protocol for myogenic cell transplantation throughout large volumes of muscles in a Duchenne muscular dystrophy patient: eighteen months follow-up*. Neuromuscul Disord, 2007. **17**(1): p. 38-46.
16. Skuk, D., et al., *Dystrophin expression in muscles of duchenne muscular dystrophy patients after high-density injections of normal myogenic cells*. J Neuropathol Exp Neurol, 2006. **65**(4): p. 371-86.
17. Skuk, D., et al., *Dystrophin expression in myofibers of Duchenne muscular dystrophy patients following intramuscular injections of normal myogenic cells*. Mol Ther, 2004. **9**(3): p. 475-82.
18. Ispiridis, I., et al., *Time-course of changes in inflammatory and performance responses following a soccer game*. Clin J Sport Med, 2008. **18**(5): p. 423-31.
19. Gosselin, L.E. and K.M. McCormick, *Targeting the immune system to improve ventilatory function in muscular dystrophy*. Med Sci Sports Exerc, 2004. **36**(1): p. 44-51.
20. Chen, Y.W., et al., *Expression profiling in the muscular dystrophies: identification of novel aspects of molecular pathophysiology*. J Cell Biol, 2000. **151**(6): p. 1321-36.

21. Evans, N.P., et al., *Dysregulated intracellular signaling and inflammatory gene expression during initial disease onset in Duchenne muscular dystrophy*. *Am J Phys Med Rehabil*, 2009. **88**(6): p. 502-22.
22. Marotta, M., et al., *Muscle genome-wide expression profiling during disease evolution in mdx mice*. *Physiol Genomics*, 2009. **37**(2): p. 119-32.
23. Acharyya, S., et al., *Interplay of IKK/NF-kappaB signaling in macrophages and myofibers promotes muscle degeneration in Duchenne muscular dystrophy*. *J Clin Invest*, 2007. **117**(4): p. 889-901.
24. Porreca, E., et al., *Haemostatic abnormalities, cardiac involvement and serum tumor necrosis factor levels in X-linked dystrophic patients*. *Thromb Haemost*, 1999. **81**(4): p. 543-6.
25. Pierno, S., et al., *Role of tumour necrosis factor alpha, but not of cyclo-oxygenase-2-derived eicosanoids, on functional and morphological indices of dystrophic progression in mdx mice: a pharmacological approach*. *Neuropathol Appl Neurobiol*, 2007. **33**(3): p. 344-59.
26. Grounds, M.D. and J. Torrisi, *Anti-TNFalpha (Remicade) therapy protects dystrophic skeletal muscle from necrosis*. *FASEB J*, 2004. **18**(6): p. 676-82.
27. Grounds, M.D., et al., *Implications of cross-talk between tumour necrosis factor and insulin-like growth factor-1 signalling in skeletal muscle*. *Clin Exp Pharmacol Physiol*, 2008. **35**(7): p. 846-51.
28. Porter, J.D., et al., *Persistent over-expression of specific CC class chemokines correlates with macrophage and T-cell recruitment in mdx skeletal muscle*. *Neuromuscul Disord*, 2003. **13**(3): p. 223-35.
29. Parrish, E.P., et al., *Targeting widespread sites of damage in dystrophic muscle: engrafted macrophages as potential shuttles*. *Gene Ther*, 1996. **3**(1): p. 13-20.
30. Villalta, S.A., et al., *Shifts in macrophage phenotypes and macrophage competition for arginine metabolism affect the severity of muscle pathology in muscular dystrophy*. *Hum Mol Genet*, 2009. **18**(3): p. 482-96.
31. Demoule, A., et al., *Expression and regulation of CC class chemokines in the dystrophic (mdx) diaphragm*. *Am J Respir Cell Mol Biol*, 2005. **33**(2): p. 178-85.
32. Gavina, M., et al., *VCAM-1 expression on dystrophic muscle vessels has a critical role in the recruitment of human blood-derived CD133+ stem cells after intra-arterial transplantation*. *Blood*, 2006. **108**(8): p. 2857-66.
33. Sun, G., et al., *Intramuscular renin-angiotensin system is activated in human muscular dystrophy*. *J Neurol Sci*, 2009.
34. Radley, H.G., M.J. Davies, and M.D. Grounds, *Reduced muscle necrosis and long-term benefits in dystrophic mdx mice after cV1q (blockade of TNF) treatment*. *Neuromuscul Disord*, 2008. **18**(3): p. 227-38.
35. Hodgetts, S., et al., *Reduced necrosis of dystrophic muscle by depletion of host neutrophils, or blocking TNFalpha function with Etanercept in mdx mice*. *Neuromuscul Disord*, 2006. **16**(9-10): p. 591-602.
36. von Moers, A., et al., *Increased mRNA expression of tissue inhibitors of metalloproteinase-1 and -2 in Duchenne muscular dystrophy*. *Acta Neuropathol*, 2005. **109**(3): p. 285-93.
37. Gargioli, C., et al., *PIGF-MMP-9-expressing cells restore microcirculation and efficacy of cell therapy in aged dystrophic muscle*. *Nat Med*, 2008. **14**(9): p. 973-8.

38. Musch, B.C., et al., *A comparison of the structure of small blood vessels in normal, denervated and dystrophic human muscle*. J Neurol Sci, 1975. **26**(2): p. 221-34.
39. Manzur, A.Y., et al., *Glucocorticoid corticosteroids for Duchenne muscular dystrophy*. Cochrane Database Syst Rev, 2008(1): p. CD003725.
40. Connolly, A.M., et al., *High dose weekly oral prednisone improves strength in boys with Duchenne muscular dystrophy*. Neuromuscul Disord, 2002. **12**(10): p. 917-25.
41. Pollard, J.W., *Trophic macrophages in development and disease*. Nat Rev Immunol, 2009. **9**(4): p. 259-70.
42. Martinez, F.O., et al., *Macrophage activation and polarization*. Front Biosci, 2008. **13**: p. 453-61.
43. Sica, A., et al., *Macrophage polarization in tumour progression*. Semin Cancer Biol, 2008. **18**(5): p. 349-55.
44. Eriksson, F., et al., *Tumor-specific bacteriophages induce tumor destruction through activation of tumor-associated macrophages*. J Immunol, 2009. **182**(5): p. 3105-11.
45. Wang, Y., et al., *Ex vivo programmed macrophages ameliorate experimental chronic inflammatory renal disease*. Kidney Int, 2007. **72**(3): p. 290-9.
46. Malerba, A., et al., *Macrophage-secreted factors enhance the in vitro expansion of DMD muscle precursor cells while preserving their myogenic potential*. Neurol Res, 2008.
47. Vetrone, S.A., et al., *Osteopontin promotes fibrosis in dystrophic mouse muscle by modulating immune cell subsets and intramuscular TGF-beta*. J Clin Invest, 2009. **119**(6): p. 1583-94.
48. Radley, H.G. and M.D. Grounds, *Cromolyn administration (to block mast cell degranulation) reduces necrosis of dystrophic muscle in mdx mice*. Neurobiol Dis, 2006. **23**(2): p. 387-97.
49. Kissel, J.T., et al., *Mononuclear cell analysis of muscle biopsies in prednisone-treated and untreated Duchenne muscular dystrophy*. CIDD Study Group. Neurology, 1991. **41**(5): p. 667-72.
50. De Luca, A., et al., *A multidisciplinary evaluation of the effectiveness of cyclosporine a in dystrophic mdx mice*. Am J Pathol, 2005. **166**(2): p. 477-89.
51. Farini, A., et al., *T and B lymphocyte depletion has a marked effect on the fibrosis of dystrophic skeletal muscles in the scid/mdx mouse*. J Pathol, 2007. **213**(2): p. 229-38.
52. Morrison, J., et al., *T-cell-dependent fibrosis in the mdx dystrophic mouse*. Lab Invest, 2000. **80**(6): p. 881-91.
53. Confalonieri, P., et al., *Muscle inflammation and MHC class I up-regulation in muscular dystrophy with lack of dysferlin: an immunopathological study*. J Neuroimmunol, 2003. **142**(1-2): p. 130-6.
54. McDouall, R.M., M.J. Dunn, and V. Dubowitz, *Expression of class I and class II MHC antigens in neuromuscular diseases*. J Neurol Sci, 1989. **89**(2-3): p. 213-26.
55. Laszlo, A., et al., *Antinuclear factor, smooth and striated muscle antibodies in Duchenne-type muscular dystrophy*. Acta Paediatr Hung, 1983. **24**(4): p. 331-6.

56. Spencer, M.J., et al., *Helper (CD4(+)) and cytotoxic (CD8(+)) T cells promote the pathology of dystrophin-deficient muscle*. Clin Immunol, 2001. **98**(2): p. 235-43.
57. Spencer, M.J., et al., *Myonuclear apoptosis in dystrophic mdx muscle occurs by perforin-mediated cytotoxicity*. J Clin Invest, 1997. **99**(11): p. 2745-51.
58. Law, P.K., et al., *Myoblast transfer therapy for Duchenne muscular dystrophy*. Acta Paediatr Jpn, 1991. **33**(2): p. 206-15.
59. Tremblay, J.P., et al., *Results of a triple blind clinical study of myoblast transplantations without immunosuppressive treatment in young boys with Duchenne muscular dystrophy*. Cell Transplant, 1993. **2**(2): p. 99-112.
60. Karpati, G., et al., *Myoblast transfer in Duchenne muscular dystrophy*. Ann Neurol, 1993. **34**(1): p. 8-17.
61. Law, P.K., et al., *Cell transplantation as an experimental treatment for Duchenne muscular dystrophy*. Cell Transplant, 1993. **2**(6): p. 485-505.
62. Miller, R.G., et al., *Myoblast implantation in Duchenne muscular dystrophy: the San Francisco study*. Muscle Nerve, 1997. **20**(4): p. 469-78.
63. Friedenstein, A.J., et al., *Heterotopic of bone marrow. Analysis of precursor cells for osteogenic and hematopoietic tissues*. Transplantation, 1968. **6**(2): p. 230-47.
64. Zannettino, A.C., et al., *Multipotential human adipose-derived stromal stem cells exhibit a perivascular phenotype in vitro and in vivo*. J Cell Physiol, 2008. **214**(2): p. 413-21.
65. Hoogduijn, M.J., et al., *Human heart, spleen, and perirenal fat-derived mesenchymal stem cells have immunomodulatory capacities*. Stem Cells Dev, 2007. **16**(4): p. 597-604.
66. Chao, K.C., et al., *Islet-like clusters derived from mesenchymal stem cells in Wharton's Jelly of the human umbilical cord for transplantation to control type 1 diabetes*. PLoS ONE, 2008. **3**(1): p. e1451.
67. Jo, Y.Y., et al., *Isolation and characterization of postnatal stem cells from human dental tissues*. Tissue Eng, 2007. **13**(4): p. 767-73.
68. He, Q., C. Wan, and G. Li, *Concise review: multipotent mesenchymal stromal cells in blood*. Stem Cells, 2007. **25**(1): p. 69-77.
69. Oh, W., et al., *Immunological properties of umbilical cord blood-derived mesenchymal stromal cells*. Cell Immunol, 2008.
70. Meng, X., et al., *Endometrial regenerative cells: a novel stem cell population*. J Transl Med, 2007. **5**: p. 57.
71. Hida, N., et al., *Novel Cardiac Precursor-Like Cells from Human Menstrual Blood-Derived Mesenchymal Cells*. Stem Cells, 2008.
72. Patel, A.N., et al., *Multipotent menstrual blood stromal stem cells: isolation, characterization, and differentiation*. Cell Transplant, 2008. **17**(3): p. 303-11.
73. Jazedje, T., et al., *Human fallopian tube: a new source of multipotent adult mesenchymal stem cells discarded in surgical procedures*. J Transl Med, 2009. **7**: p. 46.
74. Prockop, D.J., *Marrow stromal cells as stem cells for nonhematopoietic tissues*. Science, 1997. **276**(5309): p. 71-4.
75. Lepski, G., et al., *A comparative analysis of human adult mesenchymal and fetal neuronal stem cells with regard to their neurogenic potential*. Exp Cell Res, 2009.

76. Trzaska, K.A., et al., *Brain-derived neurotrophic factor facilitates maturation of mesenchymal stem cell-derived dopamine progenitors to functional neurons*. J Neurochem, 2009. **110**(3): p. 1058-69.
77. Liu, Y., et al., *Flk-1+ adipose-derived mesenchymal stem cells differentiate into skeletal muscle satellite cells and ameliorate muscular dystrophy in mdx mice*. Stem Cells Dev, 2007. **16**(5): p. 695-706.
78. Chivu, M., et al., *In vitro hepatic differentiation of human bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells under differential exposure to liver-specific factors*. Transl Res, 2009. **154**(3): p. 122-32.
79. Xie, Q.P., et al., *Human bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells differentiate into insulin-producing cells upon microenvironmental manipulation in vitro*. Differentiation, 2009. **77**(5): p. 483-91.
80. Tao, X.R., et al., *Clonal mesenchymal stem cells derived from human bone marrow can differentiate into hepatocyte-like cells in injured livers of SCID mice*. J Cell Biochem, 2009.
81. Kim, Y.S., et al., *TNF-alpha enhances engraftment of mesenchymal stem cells into infarcted myocardium*. Front Biosci, 2009. **14**: p. 2845-56.
82. Qi, C.M., et al., *Identification and differentiation of magnetically labeled mesenchymal stem cells in vivo in swines with myocardial infarction*. Int J Cardiol, 2009. **131**(3): p. 417-9.
83. Chung, D.J., et al., *Intraarterially delivered human umbilical cord blood-derived mesenchymal stem cells in canine cerebral ischemia*. J Neurosci Res, 2009.
84. Qian, H., et al., *Bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells ameliorate rat acute renal failure by differentiation into renal tubular epithelial-like cells*. Int J Mol Med, 2008. **22**(3): p. 325-32.
85. Rojas, M., et al., *Bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stem cells in repair of the injured lung*. Am J Respir Cell Mol Biol, 2005. **33**(2): p. 145-52.
86. Bruder, S.P., D.J. Fink, and A.I. Caplan, *Mesenchymal stem cells in bone development, bone repair, and skeletal regeneration therapy*. J Cell Biochem, 1994. **56**(3): p. 283-94.
87. Tan, B.T., M.M. Lee, and R. Ruan, *Bone-marrow-derived cells that home to acoustic deafened cochlea preserved their hematopoietic identity*. J Comp Neurol, 2008. **509**(2): p. 167-79.
88. Avniel, S., et al., *Involvement of the CXCL12/CXCR4 pathway in the recovery of skin following burns*. J Invest Dermatol, 2006. **126**(2): p. 468-76.
89. Fox, A., et al., *Mobilization of endothelial progenitor cells into the circulation in burned patients*. Br J Surg, 2008. **95**(2): p. 244-51.
90. Kitaori, T., et al., *Stromal cell-derived factor 1/CXCR4 signaling is critical for the recruitment of mesenchymal stem cells to the fracture site during skeletal repair in a mouse model*. Arthritis Rheum, 2009. **60**(3): p. 813-23.
91. Penn, M.S., *Importance of the SDF-1: CXCR4 axis in myocardial repair*. Circ Res, 2009. **104**(10): p. 1133-5.
92. Schonemeier, B., et al., *Enhanced expression of the CXCL12/SDF-1 chemokine receptor CXCR7 after cerebral ischemia in the rat brain*. J Neuroimmunol, 2008. **198**(1-2): p. 39-45.

93. Goncalves, M.A., et al., *Human mesenchymal stem cells ectopically expressing full-length dystrophin can complement Duchenne muscular dystrophy myotubes by cell fusion*. Hum Mol Genet, 2006. **15**(2): p. 213-21.
94. Riordan, N.H., et al., *Cord blood in regenerative medicine: do we need immune suppression?* J Transl Med, 2007. **5**: p. 8.
95. Nemeth, K., et al., *Bone marrow stromal cells attenuate sepsis via prostaglandin E(2)-dependent reprogramming of host macrophages to increase their interleukin-10 production*. Nat Med, 2009. **15**(1): p. 42-9.
96. Gonzalez, M.A., et al., *Treatment of experimental arthritis by inducing immune tolerance with human adipose-derived mesenchymal stem cells*. Arthritis Rheum, 2009. **60**(4): p. 1006-19.
97. Zheng, Z.H., et al., *Allogeneic mesenchymal stem cell and mesenchymal stem cell-differentiated chondrocyte suppress the responses of type II collagen-reactive T cells in rheumatoid arthritis*. Rheumatology (Oxford), 2008. **47**(1): p. 22-30.
98. Fiorina, P., et al., *Immunomodulatory function of bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stem cells in experimental autoimmune type 1 diabetes*. J Immunol, 2009. **183**(2): p. 993-1004.
99. Madec, A.M., et al., *Mesenchymal stem cells protect NOD mice from diabetes by inducing regulatory T cells*. Diabetologia, 2009. **52**(7): p. 1391-9.
100. Constantin, G., et al., *Adipose-Derived Mesenchymal Stem Cells Ameliorate Chronic Experimental Autoimmune Encephalomyelitis*. Stem Cells, 2009.
101. Rafei, M., et al., *Allogeneic Mesenchymal Stem Cells for Treatment of Experimental Autoimmune Encephalomyelitis*. Mol Ther, 2009.
102. Zhou, K., et al., *Transplantation of human bone marrow mesenchymal stem cell ameliorates the autoimmune pathogenesis in MRL/lpr mice*. Cell Mol Immunol, 2008. **5**(6): p. 417-24.
103. Song, H.Y., et al., *Lysophosphatidic acid mediates migration of human mesenchymal stem cells stimulated by synovial fluid of patients with rheumatoid arthritis*. Biochim Biophys Acta, 2009.
104. Rafei, M., et al., *Mesenchymal stromal cells ameliorate experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis by inhibiting CD4 Th17 T cells in a CC chemokine ligand 2-dependent manner*. J Immunol, 2009. **182**(10): p. 5994-6002.
105. Spaggiari, G.M., et al., *MSCs inhibit monocyte-derived DC maturation and function by selectively interfering with the generation of immature DCs: central role of MSC-derived prostaglandin E2*. Blood, 2009. **113**(26): p. 6576-83.
106. Yang, Y.W., et al., *[Experimental study on influence of bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells on activation and function of mouse peritoneal macrophages]*. Zhonghua Xue Ye Xue Za Zhi, 2008. **29**(8): p. 540-3.
107. Batten, P., et al., *Human mesenchymal stem cells induce T cell anergy and downregulate T cell allo-responses via the TH2 pathway: relevance to tissue engineering human heart valves*. Tissue Eng, 2006. **12**(8): p. 2263-73.
108. Selmani, Z., et al., *Human leukocyte antigen-G5 secretion by human mesenchymal stem cells is required to suppress T lymphocyte and natural killer function and to induce CD4⁺CD25^{high}FOXP3⁺ regulatory T cells*. Stem Cells, 2008. **26**(1): p. 212-22.

109. Bai, L., et al., *Human bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stem cells induce Th2-polarized immune response and promote endogenous repair in animal models of multiple sclerosis*. *Glia*, 2009. **57**(11): p. 1192-203.
110. Casiraghi, F., et al., *Pretransplant infusion of mesenchymal stem cells prolongs the survival of a semiallogeneic heart transplant through the generation of regulatory T cells*. *J Immunol*, 2008. **181**(6): p. 3933-46.
111. Chen, L., et al., *Effects of human mesenchymal stem cells on the differentiation of dendritic cells from CD34+ cells*. *Stem Cells Dev*, 2007. **16**(5): p. 719-31.
112. Campioni, D., et al., *A decreased positivity for CD90 on human mesenchymal stromal cells (MSCs) is associated with a loss of immunosuppressive activity by MSCs*. *Cytometry B Clin Cytom*, 2009. **76**(3): p. 225-30.
113. Bishopric, N.H., *Mesenchymal stem cell-derived IL-10 and recovery from infarction: a third pitch for the chord*. *Circ Res*, 2008. **103**(2): p. 125-7.
114. Puissant, B., et al., *Immunomodulatory effect of human adipose tissue-derived adult stem cells: comparison with bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells*. *Br J Haematol*, 2005. **129**(1): p. 118-29.
115. Nasef, A., et al., *Leukemia inhibitory factor: Role in human mesenchymal stem cells mediated immunosuppression*. *Cell Immunol*, 2008. **253**(1-2): p. 16-22.
116. Jones, B.J., et al., *Immunosuppression by placental indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase: a role for mesenchymal stem cells*. *Placenta*, 2007. **28**(11-12): p. 1174-81.
117. Rizzo, R., et al., *A functional role for soluble HLA-G antigens in immune modulation mediated by mesenchymal stromal cells*. *Cytotherapy*, 2008. **10**(4): p. 364-75.
118. Renner, P., et al., *Mesenchymal stem cells require a sufficient, ongoing immune response to exert their immunosuppressive function*. *Transplant Proc*, 2009. **41**(6): p. 2607-11.
119. Ryan, J.M., et al., *Interferon-gamma does not break, but promotes the immunosuppressive capacity of adult human mesenchymal stem cells*. *Clin Exp Immunol*, 2007. **149**(2): p. 353-63.
120. Opitz, C.A., et al., *Toll-like receptor engagement enhances the immunosuppressive properties of human bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stem cells by inducing indoleamine-2,3-dioxygenase-1 via interferon-beta and protein kinase R*. *Stem Cells*, 2009. **27**(4): p. 909-19.
121. <http://www.osiristx.com/pdf/PR%20123%2008Sep09%20Phase%20III%20OGvHD%20Topline%20Results.pdf>.
122. Le Blanc, K., et al., *Mesenchymal stem cells for treatment of steroid-resistant, severe, acute graft-versus-host disease: a phase II study*. *Lancet*, 2008. **371**(9624): p. 1579-86.
123. Ning, H., et al., *The correlation between cotransplantation of mesenchymal stem cells and higher recurrence rate in hematologic malignancy patients: outcome of a pilot clinical study*. *Leukemia*, 2008. **22**(3): p. 593-9.
124. Ball, L., et al., *Third party mesenchymal stromal cell infusions fail to induce tissue repair despite successful control of severe grade IV acute graft-versus-host disease in a child with juvenile myelo-monocytic leukemia*. *Leukemia*, 2008. **22**(6): p. 1256-7.

125. Ringden, O., et al., *Mesenchymal stem cells for treatment of therapy-resistant graft-versus-host disease*. *Transplantation*, 2006. **81**(10): p. 1390-7.
126. Le Blanc, K., et al., *Treatment of severe acute graft-versus-host disease with third party haploidentical mesenchymal stem cells*. *Lancet*, 2004. **363**(9419): p. 1439-41.
127. Muller, I., et al., *Application of multipotent mesenchymal stromal cells in pediatric patients following allogeneic stem cell transplantation*. *Blood Cells Mol Dis*, 2008. **40**(1): p. 25-32.
128. Horwitz, E.M., et al., *Isolated allogeneic bone marrow-derived mesenchymal cells engraft and stimulate growth in children with osteogenesis imperfecta: Implications for cell therapy of bone*. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*, 2002. **99**(13): p. 8932-7.
129. Koc, O.N., et al., *Allogeneic mesenchymal stem cell infusion for treatment of metachromatic leukodystrophy (MLD) and Hurler syndrome (MPS-IH)*. *Bone Marrow Transplant*, 2002. **30**(4): p. 215-22.
130. Mazzini, L., et al., *Mesenchymal stem cell transplantation in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis: A Phase I clinical trial*. *Exp Neurol*, 2009.
131. Sun, L., et al., *Mesenchymal stem cell transplantation reverses multiorgan dysfunction in systemic lupus erythematosus mice and humans*. *Stem Cells*, 2009. **27**(6): p. 1421-32.
132. Kharaziha, P., et al., *Improvement of liver function in liver cirrhosis patients after autologous mesenchymal stem cell injection: a phase I-II clinical trial*. *Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol*, 2009.
133. Garcia-Olmo, D., et al., *Expanded adipose-derived stem cells for the treatment of complex perianal fistula: a phase II clinical trial*. *Dis Colon Rectum*, 2009. **52**(1): p. 79-86.
134. Le Blanc, K., et al., *Transplantation of mesenchymal stem cells to enhance engraftment of hematopoietic stem cells*. *Leukemia*, 2007. **21**(8): p. 1733-8.
135. Lazarus, H.M., et al., *Cotransplantation of HLA-identical sibling culture-expanded mesenchymal stem cells and hematopoietic stem cells in hematologic malignancy patients*. *Biol Blood Marrow Transplant*, 2005. **11**(5): p. 389-98.
136. Ball, L.M., et al., *Cotransplantation of ex vivo expanded mesenchymal stem cells accelerates lymphocyte recovery and may reduce the risk of graft failure in haploidentical hematopoietic stem-cell transplantation*. *Blood*, 2007. **110**(7): p. 2764-7.
137. Imberti, B., et al., *Insulin-like growth factor-1 sustains stem cell mediated renal repair*. *J Am Soc Nephrol*, 2007. **18**(11): p. 2921-8.
138. Sadat, S., et al., *The cardioprotective effect of mesenchymal stem cells is mediated by IGF-I and VEGF*. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun*, 2007. **363**(3): p. 674-9.
139. Lee, J.W., et al., *Allogeneic human mesenchymal stem cells for treatment of E. coli endotoxin-induced acute lung injury in the ex vivo perfused human lung*. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*, 2009.
140. Mackenzie, T.C., et al., *Engraftment of bone marrow and fetal liver cells after in utero transplantation in MDX mice*. *J Pediatr Surg*, 2002. **37**(7): p. 1058-64.

141. Chan, J., et al., *Widespread distribution and muscle differentiation of human fetal mesenchymal stem cells after intrauterine transplantation in dystrophic mdx mouse*. Stem Cells, 2007. **25**(4): p. 875-84.
142. Feng, S.W., et al., *Dynamic distribution of bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stromal cells and change of pathology after infusing into mdx mice*. Cytotherapy, 2008. **10**(3): p. 254-64.
143. Shabbir, A., et al., *Muscular dystrophy therapy by nonautologous mesenchymal stem cells: muscle regeneration without immunosuppression and inflammation*. Transplantation, 2009. **87**(9): p. 1275-82.
144. Gang, E.J., et al., *Engraftment of mesenchymal stem cells into dystrophin-deficient mice is not accompanied by functional recovery*. Exp Cell Res, 2009. **315**(15): p. 2624-36.
145. Murphy, M.P., et al., *Allogeneic endometrial regenerative cells: an "Off the shelf solution" for critical limb ischemia?* J Transl Med, 2008. **6**: p. 45.
146. Hida, N., et al., *Novel cardiac precursor-like cells from human menstrual blood-derived mesenchymal cells*. Stem Cells, 2008. **26**(7): p. 1695-704.
147. Cui, C.H., et al., *Menstrual blood-derived cells confer human dystrophin expression in the murine model of Duchenne muscular dystrophy via cell fusion and myogenic transdifferentiation*. Mol Biol Cell, 2007. **18**(5): p. 1586-94.
148. Zhong, Z., et al., *Feasibility investigation of allogeneic endometrial regenerative cells*. J Transl Med, 2009. **7**: p. 15.
149. Ichim, T.E., et al., *Placental mesenchymal and cord blood stem cell therapy for dilated cardiomyopathy*. Reprod Biomed Online, 2008. **16**(6): p. 898-905.
150. Urban, V.S., et al., *Mesenchymal stem cells cooperate with bone marrow cells in therapy of diabetes*. Stem Cells, 2008. **26**(1): p. 244-53.
151. Otto, A., H. Collins-Hooper, and K. Patel, *The origin, molecular regulation and therapeutic potential of myogenic stem cell populations*. J Anat, 2009.
152. Nunes, V.A., et al., *Stem cells from umbilical cord blood differentiate into myotubes and express dystrophin in vitro only after exposure to in vivo muscle environment*. Biol Cell, 2007. **99**(4): p. 185-96.
153. Jazedje, T., et al., *Stem cells from umbilical cord blood do have myogenic potential, with and without differentiation induction in vitro*. J Transl Med, 2009. **7**: p. 6.

Stem Cell Administration Scheme				
Date	CD34+ IV	ERC IM	ERC IV	MSC IV
<i>First Cycle</i>				
Aug 5		12 million		
Aug 6		12 million		
Aug 7		12 million		
Aug 11		12 million		
Aug 12		12 million		
Aug 13	3 million			
Aug 14	3 million			
<i>Second Cycle</i>				
Nov 25		8 million		
Nov 26	1.5 million	20 million	6 million	
Nov 27	1.5 million			3 million
Nov 28		28 million		

TABLE I

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT